

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/676,866	0	9/29/2000	Ron Maurer	1000735-1	3319
22879	7590	08/30/2004		EXAM	INER
		RD COMPANY 4 E. HARMONY RO	WU, JINGGE		
		PERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLI	LINS, CO	80527-2400	2623	···	

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/676,866	MAURER, RON						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jingge Wu	2623						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in								
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant?	nths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be								
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:								
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	tion(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were newly						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: <u>5-13 and 20-27</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>37,38,42 and 43</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,14-19, 28-35, 39-41</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ₋							
10. Other:								

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/676,866

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because. Harriton discloses chrominance revising algorithm that the chrominance value of a current pixel is limited (The equation of Cz (i, j) is inherently reduces the chrominance value to Min (Cmax (i, j)) if a current chrominance value is above Min (Cmax (i, j), C0 (i, j)) in the range between a maximum and a minimum chrominance value of the neighborhood of pixels near the pixel. (col. 6 lines 48-59). Since chrominance dynamic range is defined as the range Cmax-Cmin and Harrinton expressly mention that "The revised value can then be limited by the minimum and maximun values of a neiborhood of pixels" (col. 5 lines 15-30), therefore Harrinton indeed taught the limitations of claims.

Best Available Copy